



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,968	10/19/2000	Carlos V. Pinera	6169-137	6538
40987	7590	11/28/2005	EXAMINER	
AKERMAN SENTERFITT P. O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			KISS, ERIC B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/691,968

Applicant(s)

PINERA ET AL.

Examiner

Eric B. Kiss

Art Unit

2192

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8 September 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-11 and 13-33 are pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's cancellation of claim 12 appropriately addresses the objection under 35 U.S.C. § 132(a) and the rejection of claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, based on new matter. Accordingly, this objection and rejection are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 and 13-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

It is noted, however, that claim 32 does not require the query to be generated by the configuration client.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2192

5. Claims 1-6, 10, 11, 13-20, and 24-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,920,725 to Ma et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,314,088 to Yamano.

As per claim 1, *Ma et al.* disclose establishing a first communications connection between a platform managing active application components and a configuration client disposed in a client position (client app 74 and object adapter 80; see, for example, Fig. 5; col. 8, lines 10-34; and col. 9, lines 6-43); establishing a second communications connection between said configuration client and a configuration server (object adapter 80 and meta server 70; see, for example, Fig. 5; and col. 11, lines 1-24); delivering client position specific updates to said configuration client over said second communications connection, wherein each update corresponds to at least one particular application component (client classes are updated; see, for example, Figs. 5 and 8; col. 9, lines 6-43; and col. 11, lines 25-40); notifying said platform that updates are available (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43); responsive to said notification, terminating execution of said particular active application components, delivering each said update over said first communications connection to said platform, applying each said update to said at least one corresponding application component, and re-executing each said update application component (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43; and col. 11, lines 25-40).

Ma et al. fails to expressly disclose the platform and configuration client both being disposed in a client position and the configuration client submitting at least one query to the configuration server via the second communication connection. However, *Yamano* teaches a configuration client being disposed in a client position (see, for example, Figs. 1 and 2 and col. 3, lines 42-63) and the configuration client submitting at least one query to the configuration server

Art Unit: 2192

(see, for example, col. 4, lines 4-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the computer art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of *Ma et al.* to include such a configuration client arrangement and query submission. One would be motivated to do so facilitate the use of multiple configuration servers.

As per claim 2, *Ma et al.* further disclose the step of terminating comprising: identifying said at least one particular corresponding application component to be updated based on said notification (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43; and col. 10, lines 39-66); terminating instances of each said identified application component before said instances self-terminate (objects having a reference count of zero are deleted; a client object referencing an invalid object can read the invalid bit from the cache and decide to release the invalid object and load the update object; see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43); and removing interdependencies between said terminated application component instances and other application components (for example, new references to objects marked invalid are no longer made; see, for example, col. 10, lines 39-66).

As per claim 3, *Ma et al.* further disclose the re-executing step comprising: instantiating each said updated application component (see, for example, col. 10, lines 39-66); and initializing each said updated application component instance (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43; and col. 10, lines 39-66).

As per claim 4, *Ma et al.* further disclose the initializing step comprising: communicating configuration information to said configuration client (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43); and reinitializing state information internal to each said updated application component based on said configuration information (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43; and col. 10, lines 39-66).

As per claim 5, *Ma et al.* further disclose requesting from said configuration client update notifications, said update notifications notifying said platform of application component updates as said updates become available in said configuration server (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43).

As per claim 6, *Ma et al.* further disclose the step of transmitting update notifications over said second communications connection to said configuration client, said update notifications notifying said configuration client of application component updates as said updates become available in said configuration server (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43).

As per claim 10, *Ma et al.* disclose a platform for managing active application components (client app 74; see, for example, Fig. 5; col. 8, lines 10-34; and col. 9, lines 6-43); a configuration server for storing updates (meta server 70; see, for example, Fig. 5; and col. 11, lines 1-24); and a configuration client for receiving updates from said configuration server and communicating said received updates to said platform (object adapter 80; see, for example, Fig. 5; col. 8, lines 10-34; and col. 9, lines 6-43); said platform receiving said updates from said configuration client, terminating selected ones of said active application components, applying said received updates to said terminated application components, and reloading said updated application components (see, for example, Figs. 5 and 8; col. 9, lines 6-43; and col. 11, lines 25-40).

Ma et al. fails to expressly disclose the platform and configuration client both being disposed in a client position and the configuration client submitting at least one query to the configuration server via the second communication connection. However, *Yamano* teaches a configuration client being disposed in a client position (see, for example, Figs. 1 and 2 and col. 3,

lines 42-63) and the configuration client submitting at least one query to the configuration server (see, for example, col. 4, lines 4-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the computer art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of *Ma et al.* to include such a configuration client arrangement and query submission. One would be motivated to do so facilitate the use of multiple configuration servers.

As per claim 11, *Ma et al.* discloses that new instances of objects are created from the object description fetched from the meta-server's database (see, for example, col. 6, lines 4-6). Thus, at bootstrap (when new object instances need to be created), the configuration server is queried to determine the application components (object descriptions).

As per claim 12, *Ma et al.* further discloses active application components being processes executing in the background of the platform (see, for example, col. 4, lines 59-63).

As per claim 13, *Ma et al.* further disclose a notifier object and a listener interface, wherein said active application components are configured to receive update notifications from said configuration client through said listener interface (see, for example, col. 9, line 6, through col. 10, line 66).

As per claim 14, *Ma et al.* further disclose a notifier object and a listener interface, wherein said configuration client is configured to receive update notifications from said configuration server through said listener interface (see, for example, col. 9, line 6, through col. 10, line 66).

As per claims 15-20, these are machine readable storage versions of the claimed method steps discussed above (claims 1-6). *Ma et al.* further disclose the use of a machine readable

Art Unit: 2192

storage for implementing the prescribed method steps (see, for example, cols. 21-22). All other limitations have been addressed as set forth above.

As per claims 24-31, see the disclosure applied above to claims 1-3, 5, 15-17, and 19.

As per claim 32, *Ma et al.* discloses establishing a communications connection between a client and a configuration server as the client undergoes bootstrap and querying the configuration server to identify a plurality of application components that are to be installed in the client (*Ma et al.* discloses that new instances of objects are created from the object description fetched from the meta-server's database (see, for example, col. 6, lines 4-6). Thus, at bootstrap (when new object instances need to be created), the configuration server is queried to determine the application components (object descriptions)); said client installing and executing said identified application components (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43); updating at least one application component within the configuration server (client classes are updated; see, for example, Figs. 5 and 8; col. 9, lines 6-43; and col. 11, lines 25-40); conveying a notification that the application component is updated to said client (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43); said client determining whether the application component is executing and when said application component is not executing, receiving said updated application component from said configuration server and replacing the application component with said updated application component (objects having a reference count of zero are deleted; a client object referencing an invalid object can read the invalid bit from the cache and decide to release the invalid object and load the update object; see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43).

As per claim 33, *Ma et al.* further discloses identifying at least one executing process that utilizes said application component (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43); terminating execution

Art Unit: 2192

of said identified process before said process self-terminates (objects having a reference count of zero are deleted; a client object referencing an invalid object can read the invalid bit from the cache and decide to release the invalid object and load the update object; see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43); and executing said identified process utilizing the updated application component instead of said application component (see, for example, col. 9, lines 6-43).

6. Claims 7 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,920,725 to Ma et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,314,088 to Yamano and further in view of Andrew S. Tanenbaum, "Computer Networks," 1996, Prentice Hall PTR, third ed. (hereinafter *Tanenbaum*).

As per claims 7 and 21, *Ma et al.* and *Yamano* suggest such a method and machine readable storage (see the disclosure applied above to claims 6 and 20) but fail to expressly disclose the use of UDP packets for delivering the update notifications. However, *Tanenbaum* teaches that it is known to use UDP packets in client-server applications involving one-shot or one request/one response messaging as an alternative to establishing a connection through, for example, a TCP connection (see, for example, pages 37 and 542-543). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method and storage of *Ma et al.* to include the use of UDP packets for transmitting update notifications. One would be motivated to do so to gain the advantages of prompt delivery and simplified messaging that UDP provides.

Art Unit: 2192

7. Claims 8, 9, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,920,725 to Ma et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,314,088 to Yamano and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.

As per claims 8, 9, 22, and 23, *Ma et al.* and *Yamano* teach such a method, system, and storage (see the disclosure applied above to claims 1, 10, and 15) but fail to expressly disclose the use of an LDAP-based database in an LDAP server. However, Applicant admits that it is known to use an LDAP on a server to access application updates and configuration information stored in a directory service (see p. 2, line 18, through p. 3, line 5 of the instant specification). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method, system, and storage of *Ma et al.* to include the use of an LDAP-based database in an LDAP server as suggested by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. One would be motivated to do so to gain the advantages of such a known LDAP implementation.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Eric B. Kiss whose telephone number is (571) 272-3699. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri., 7:00 am - 4:30 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.


If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam, can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist:
571-272-2100.

EBK/ERK
November 22, 2005



TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER